

8135-6-4
2

AN
EXPLANATION
OF THE
WORD EQUALITY.

K

THE perverse sense imposed on the word EQUALITY, by the folly or the fears of some of our countrymen, is as dangerous as it is absurd, and they may probably see the day when they may repent of having infused into the minds of the people, a notion that the EQUALITY to be contended for, is an *equality of wealth and possessions*. If, by continually repeating this idea, the *uninformed*, or, (as they are arrogantly styled by the *penfioned* Mr. B****) the "SWINISH MULTITUDE," should take it into their heads, that they are justified in *inforcing* such a system, the consequences will rest upon those, who, by a perversion of terms, have wickedly or foolishly propagated so dangerous a doctrine.

The Equality insisted on by the Friends of Reform, is an EQUALITY OF RIGHTS; or, in other words that *every person* may be equally entitled to the protection and benefits of society: may *equally* have a voice in the election of those who make the laws, by which he is affected in his *liberty*, his *life* or his *property*; and may have a fair opportunity of exerting to advantage any talents he may possess. The rule is not "Let all mankind be *perpetually equal*;" God and Nature have forbidden it—but "Let all mankind *start fair in the race of life*." The *inequality* derived from labor and successful enterprize, the result of superior industry and good fortune, is an *equality essential to the very existence of society*: and it naturally follows, that the property so acquired should pass from a father to his children. To render property insecure would destroy all motives to exertion, and tear up public happiness by the roots.

A

Such



47
10 / 9
98

Such are the *truths* acknowledged and avowed by the REFORMERS of the present day ; and where, but in the wild working of a disordered imagination, do their enemies find the dangerous doctrines with which they daily alarm the public. Are they acknowledged by the government of America ? Are they to be discovered by the code of the French nation ? Have they been avowed by *any constitutional Society in this kingdom* ? Or are there any traces of such doctrines in the *works of ANY Modern REFORMER* — Again, are the Reformers of the present day less interested than the abusers in the prosperity of their country ? Are Mr. GREY and his *numerous* coadjutors, men without property ? Let the opposers of Reform come forward and answer these questions, or let us hear no more of LEVELLERS, or LEVELLING SYSTEMS : nor let an odium be thrown on *great and unchangeable truths*, from the *WILFUL PERVERSION OF A WORD*.

TO THE SWINISH MULTITUDE.

WE hope the above definition of a word, which has been so basely perverted by the enemies of the human race, will be so far invincible in its operation, as to remove the prejudices which have been excited in the minds of the ignorant, against the FRIENDS OF REFORMATION, and the Advocates for an equality of Rights ; an equality so essential to the preservation of our LIBERTIES, and the promotion of our HAPPINESS, that it is impossible that either the one or the other of those blessings can be secured to us, unless this is obtained ; and as a preliminary to the acquisition of it, we would recommend to our countrymen, a serious and impartial consideration of the advantages which would result to them from so valuable a privilege ; and we would hope that this consideration would rouse them from that apathy which they are immersed in, and which will, unless awakened to a sense of their danger, terminate in a total privation of their civil and religious liberty. The systematic opposition which has been made, during the present Administration, to the reasonable claims of the People, sufficiently justifies such an apprehension—
 “ They barbarously imagine, that a more equal participation of blessings, would tend to a diminution of their personal power and authority ; and thus, are far less anxious to increase the
 comforts,

comforts, or relieve the sufferings of the indigent and oppressed, than to perpetuate their ignorance, and to ensure the continuance of their own dominion.

Permit us to appeal to your judgment, by asking you what connection can there be between a system of favouritism, taxation, corruption, and the prosperity of a nation? Is it not to be attributed to an uniform adherence to this wretched system, that the nation groans under the pressure of a debt, which amounts to the enormous sum of **TWO HUNDRED and SEVENTY MILLIONS,*** and to aggravate our distress and insult our misfortune, the ponderous load is rendered intolerable, when we recollect that a great portion of the taxes, which ought to be appropriated to the liquidation of the debt, is squandered upon **A PROFLIGATE RACE OF PLACEMEN †** and **PENSIONERS**; the only qualifications they have to boast of, as the basis of their merit, is a total desertion of the cause of the people, and a servile attachment to the fountain of emolument and honors.

Let the magnitude of the object which you have to contend for, stimulate your most vigorous exertions, in co operating with the friends of Reform, in order to obtain a full, free, and equal Representation of the People, in the House of Commons; which has been by the gravitating power of secret and undue influence, attracted into the vortex of corruption.

We shall now conclude with reminding our countrymen, in order to encourage them in the pursuit of this momentous object, that they have **REASON, TRUTH, and JUSTICE**, for their auxiliaries; therefore we hope they will not be intimidated by the feeble and ineffectual opposition which may be made to their claims, by a phalanx of Placeman and Pensioners, who have no other virtues to unite them but corruption, and no other arguments to oppose our reasoning, but sophistry and declamation.

* Two Hundred and Seventy millions, is the sum of which the human mind can hardly have an idea—were it laid down in Guineas, close together in a line, it would extend 4300 miles in length—were it laid down in Shillings, it would extend three times and a half round the world, and would require 60,400 horses to draw it, at the rate of Fifteen Hundred weight each horse!!!

Extracted from Hol's characters of the Kings and Queens of England.

† A few are here enumerated out of many hundreds:

	£. pr. annum.
Lord Sandwich, Master of the Hounds,	2000.
Marquis Conwallis, Constable of the Tower,	1000.
Marquis of Bath, Groom of the Stole, - -	2000.
Twelve Lords of the Bedchamber, - - -	1000. each
Twelve Grooms of the Bedchamber, - -	500. each
Lord Aylesford, Captain of the King's Beef-eaters - - - - -	1000.
Mr. Pitt, Admiral of the Cinque Ports, - -	4000.
Mr. Pitt, First Lord of the Treasury, - -	4000.
Mr. Pitt, Chancellor of the Exchequer - -	1800.
Lord Chatham, First Lord of the Admiralty,	3000.
Duke of Richmond, Master of the Ordnance,	1500.
Gen. Howe, Lieutenant, - - - - -	1100.
Mr. Dundas, Secretary of State, supposed to be worth - - - - -	10,000 pr. annum
Mr. Dundas, Treasurer of the Navy, - -	4000.
Mr. Dundas, President of the India Board, Salary and Patronage unknown!	
Lord Walsingham and Lord Chesterfield, Postmasters General, - - - - -	2000. each
Master of Greenwich Hospital, - - - -	1000.
Lord Chamberlain of the Household, - - -	1200.
Vice Chamberlain, - - - - -	1159.
Treasurer of the Household, - - - - -	1200.
Comptroller, - - - - -	1200.
Captain of the Pensioners (Lord Falmouth) -	1000.
His Lieutenant, - - - - -	500.
Master of the King's Horse, - - - - -	1266.
The Keeper of the King's Hawks (though he has none)	1374

Mr. REEVES, who has come forward as Chairman of the new association at the Crown and Anchor, has some substantial reasons for opposing all levelling systems—he holds appointments to the amount of three thousand pounds a year; being Chief Justice of Newfoundland, standing council to the Mint, Receiver of the police, Clerk and Secretary to the Board of Trade, a Commissioner of Bankrupts, &c. &c.

The annual amount of the taxes is twenty millions of pounds†; the weight of it in gold would be upwards of 156 tons:

†The taxes collected amount to the above sum, though when the expence of collecting, &c. is defrayed, the surplus does not much exceed seventeen millions.

The paper will not permit the list to be extended.



